QUANTUM DEFORMATIONS OF SCHWARZSCHILD AND SCHWARZSCHILD-DE SITTER SPACETIMES

DING WANG, R. B. ZHANG, AND XIAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. A quantum Schwarzschild spacetime and a quantum Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime with cosmological constant Λ are constructed within the framework of a noncommutative Riemannian geometry developed in an earlier publication. The metrics and curvatures of the quantum Schwarzschild spacetime and the quantum Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime are computed. It is shown that up to the second order in the deformation parameter, the quantum spacetimes are solutions of a noncommutative Einstein equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

There have been intensive activities studying noncommutative analogues of general relativity. The studies are largely motivated by the widely held belief that the usual notion of spacetime as a pseudo-Riemannian manifold needs to be modified at the Planck scale. Indeed it was demonstrated in [1] that at the Planck scale, the coordinates describing spacetime points satisfied certain nontrivial commutation relations analogous to those appearing in quantum mechanics. This suggests the relevance of noncommutative geometry to Planck scale physics.

A large volume of work has been done on noncommutative analogues of black holes [2, 3, 4, 5] by using a variety of physically motivated methods and incorporating different physical intuitions. The papers in [3] assumed a Gaussian distribution for matter in Einstein gravity and analyzed the integrated effect of noncommutativity as corrections to the classical Schwarzschild black hole. Analysis in a similar vein was carried out in [4]. In [5], Chaichian and collaborators investigated corrections to physical quantities of black holes arising from the noncommutativity of spacetime itself by using a gauge theoretical formulation. General relativity on a noncommutative spacetime is regarded in [5] as a nonconmmutative gauge theory of a deformed Lorentzian algebra analogous to the classical picture of Utiyama and Kibble. A methodology akin to this is also adopted in [6, 8, 7]. Particularly noteworthy is the study in [8], which showed that a deformation of general relativity arising from [7] differed qualitatively from the low energy limit of string theory. Very recently, Buric and Madore in [11] (see also [10]) explored a possible moving frame formalism for a noncommutative geometry on the Moyal space as the first step toward setting up a framework for studying Schwarzschild or Schwarzschild Sitter black holes.

In [9] a theory of noncommutative Riemannian geometry over the Moyal algebra was developed by studying noncommutative surfaces embedded in higher dimensions. This theory makes use of Nash's isometric embedding theorem [14] and its generalisations [15]. It retains key notions of usual Riemannian geometry, such as the metric and curvature, which are essential for describing gravity. Other features of the theory of [9] are its simplicity and transparent consistency, which render the theory particularly amenable to explicit computations. As we shall see in this paper, computations within the theory are no more difficult than that in usual Riemannian geometry. Furthermore, it is possible to apply the formalism of [9] to obtain a theory of κ-deformed [12] noncommutative geometry.

The κ-deformed spacetimes (see [13] for references) are another class of noncommutative spacetimes much studied in the literature.

The present paper applies the theory of noncommutative Riemannian geometry developed in [9] to investigate quantum aspects of gravity from a mathematical point of view. Specifically, we construct quantum deformations of the Schwarzschild spacetime and the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime in the framework of [9] and investigate the physical properties of such noncommutative spacetimes. The key results on the quantum Schwarzschild spacetime are equations (3.6) and (3.8), which respectively give the metric and the Ricci curvature. The metric and the Ricci curvature of the quantum Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime are respectively given by equations (4.2) and (4.3). The Hawking temperature and entropy of the quantum Schwarzschild black hole are investigated, and a quantum correction to the entropy-area law is observed (see (3.7)).

The noncommutative analogue of the Einstein equation in the vacuum proposed in [9] is generalised to include matter (equation (2.13)). We show that the quantum Schwarzschild spacetime and quantum Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime are solutions of (2.13) in the vacuum exact to the first order in the deformation parameter. However, higher order terms appear to require matters sources. It will be interesting to investigate the physical origin and implications of the source terms.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the noncommutative Riemannian geometry developed in Ref. [9] in the light of Nash's isometric embedding theorem [14] and its generalisation to pesudo-Riemannian manifolds [15]. In Section 3, we present two constructions of a quantum Schwarzschild spacetime and study its noncommutative geometry. The Hawking temperature and entropy of the quantum Schwarzschild black hole are also analyzed. Section 4 constructs the quantum Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime and studies its noncommutative geometry. Section 5 concludes the paper with some brief comments on the results obtained.

2. Local quantum deformation of spacetimes

Let $(N^{1,n-1}, g)$ be an n-dimensional Lorentzian manifold whose metric g has signature $(-1, 1, \cdots, 1)$. By results of [15], which extends Nash's isometric embedding to pesudo-Riemannian manifolds, there exist positive integers p, q and a set of smooth function $X^1, \cdots, X^p, X^{p+1}, \cdots, X^{p+q}$ on $N^{1,n-1}$ such that

$$g = -\left(dX^1\right)^2 - \cdots - \left(dX^p\right)^2 + \left(dX^{p+1}\right)^2 + \cdots + \left(dX^{p+q}\right)^2. \tag{2.1}$$

Let U be a coordinate chart of $N^{1,n-1}$ with natural coordinates $\{x^0, x^1, \cdots, x^n\}$. Following the mathematical convention, we allow the possibility that some of the coordinates are dimensionless instead of having the dimension of length or time. Let $\bar{h}$ be a real indeterminate, and denote by $\mathbb{R}[[\bar{h}]]$ the ring of formal power series in $\bar{h}$. Here $\bar{h}$ is taken to be dimensionless. Physically one may regard $\bar{h}$ as the ratio of the standard model mass scale and the Planck mass. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of formal power series in $\bar{h}$ with coefficients being real smooth functions on U. Namely, every element of $\mathcal{A}$ is of the form $\sum_{i\geq 0} f_i \bar{h}^i$ where f_i are smooth functions on U. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathbb{R}[[\bar{h}]]$-module in the obvious way.

Given any two smooth functions u and v on $N^{1,n-1}$, we denote by uv the usual pointwise product of the two functions. We also define their star-product (or more precisely, Moyal product) $u * v$ on U by

$$(u * v)(x) = \lim_{x' \to x} \exp\left(\bar{h} \sum_{ij} \theta_{ij} \partial_i \partial'_j\right) u(x) v(x'), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial_i}$, and (θ_{ij}) is a constant skew symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. In order for the exponential to be dimensional less, the components θ_{ij} of the matrix may need to have different dimensions. It is well known that such a multiplication is associative. Since θ is constant, the Leibniz rule remains valid in the present case:

$$\partial_i(u * v) = \partial_i u * v + u * \partial_i v.$$

For positive integer $m = p + q$, we define a dot-product

$$\bullet : \mathcal{A}^m \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[[\bar{h}]]} \mathcal{A}^m \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^m \tag{2.3}$$

over U by

$$A \bullet B = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i * b_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} a_i * b_i$$

for all $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ and $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ in $\mathcal{A}^m$. The dot-product is a map of two-sided $\mathcal{A}$-modules.

For a given $X \in \mathcal{A}^m$, we let $E_i = \partial_i X$, and define

$$\mathbf{g}_{ij} = E_i \bullet E_j.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_{ij})$ the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $\mathbf{g}_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathbf{g} \mod \bar{h}$ is invertible over U, we shall call $\mathbf{g}$ the *local quantum deformation of spacetime metric g* over U.

The discussion on the metric in [9] carries over to the present situation; in particular, the invertibility of $\mathbf{g} \mod \bar{h}$ implies that there exists a unique inverse $(\mathbf{g}^{ij})$ such that

$$\mathbf{g}_{ij} * \mathbf{g}^{jk} = \mathbf{g}^{kj} * \mathbf{g}_{ji} = \delta_i^k.$$

Now as in [9], we define the left tangent bundle TX (respectively right tangent bundle $\tilde{T}X$) of the local noncommutative spacetime $(U, \mathbf{g})$ as the left (respectively right) $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}^m$ generated by the elements E_i. The fact that the metric $\mathbf{g}$ belongs to $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ enables us to show that the left and right tangent bundles are projective $\mathcal{A}$-modules. Let

$$E^i = \mathbf{g}^{ij} * E_j, \quad \tilde{E}^i = E_j * \mathbf{g}^{ji},$$

which belong to TX and $\tilde{T}X$ respectively. Then the metric gives rise to a $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule map $\mathbf{g} : TX \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[[\bar{h}]]} \tilde{T}X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with the property

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{g}(E_i, E_j) = \mathbf{g}_{ij}, \quad \mathbf{g}(E^i, \tilde{E}^j) = \mathbf{g}^{ij}, \\ &\mathbf{g}(E^i, E_j) = \delta^i_j = \mathbf{g}(E_j, \tilde{E}^i). \end{aligned}$$

The connection ∇_i on the left tangent bundle will be defined in the same way as [9], namely, by the composition of the derivative ∂_i with the projection from the free left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A}^m$ onto the left tangent bundle. The connection $\tilde{\nabla}_i$ on the right tangent bundle is defined similarly. In order to describe the connections more explicitly, we note that there exist Γ_{ij}^k and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\nabla_i E_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k * E_k, \qquad \tilde{\nabla}_i E_j = E_k * \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k. \tag{2.4}$$

Because the metric is invertible, the elements Γ_{ij}^k and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ are uniquely defined by equation (2.4). We have

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \partial_i E_j \bullet \tilde{E}^k \qquad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = E^k \bullet \partial_i E_j. \tag{2.5}$$

It is evident that Γ_{ij}^k and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ are symmetric in the indices i and j. The following closely related objects will also be useful later:

$$\Gamma_{ijk} = \partial_i E_j \bullet E_k, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ijk} = E_k \bullet \partial_i E_j.$$

In contrast to the commutative case, Γ_{ij}^k and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ do not coincide in general. We have

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = {}_c\Gamma_{ijl} * \mathbf{g}^{lk} + \Upsilon_{ijl} * \mathbf{g}^{lk}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \mathbf{g}^{kl} * {}_c\Gamma_{ijl} - \mathbf{g}^{kl} * \Upsilon_{ijl},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_c\Gamma_{ijl} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i \mathbf{g}_{jl} + \partial_j \mathbf{g}_{li} - \partial_l \mathbf{g}_{ji}\right), \\ \Upsilon_{ijl} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i E_j \bullet E_l - E_l \bullet \partial_i E_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the left and right connections involve two parts. The part ${}_c\Gamma_{ijl}$ depends on the metric only, while the *noncommutative torsion* Υ_{ijl} embodies extra information. In the present case, the noncommutative torsion depends explicitly on the embedding. In the classical limit with $\bar{h} = 0$, Υ_{ij}^k vanishes and both Γ_{ij}^k and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ reduce to the standard Levi-Civita connection.

The connections are metric compatible in the following sense [9, Proposition 2.7]

$$\partial_i \mathbf{g}(Z, \tilde{Z}) = \mathbf{g}(\nabla_i Z, \tilde{Z}) + \mathbf{g}(Z, \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{Z}), \qquad \forall Z \in TX, \ \tilde{Z} \in \tilde{T}X. \tag{2.6}$$

This is equivalent to the fact that

$$\partial_i \mathbf{g}_{jk} - \Gamma_{ijk} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ikj} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

In contrast to the commutative case, equation (2.7) by itself is not sufficient to uniquely determine the connections Γ_{ijk} and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ijk}$; the noncommutative torsion needs to be specified independently.

Let $[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] := \nabla_i\nabla_j - \nabla_j\nabla_i$ and $[\tilde{\nabla}_i, \tilde{\nabla}_j] := \tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j - \tilde{\nabla}_j\tilde{\nabla}_i$. Straightforward calculations show that for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_i, \nabla_j](f * Z) &= f * [\nabla_i, \nabla_j]Z, \qquad Z \in TX, \\ [\tilde{\nabla}_i, \tilde{\nabla}_j](W * f) &= [\tilde{\nabla}_i, \tilde{\nabla}_j]W * f, \quad W \in \tilde{T}X. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the right-hand side of the first equation belongs to TX, while that of the second equation belongs to $\tilde{T}X$. Thus The maps $[\nabla_i, \nabla_j]$ and $[\tilde{\nabla}_i, \tilde{\nabla}_j]$ are left and right $\mathcal{A}$-module homomorphisms respectively. So we can always write

$$[\nabla_i, \nabla_j]E_k = R_{kij}^l * E_l, \qquad [\tilde{\nabla}_i, \tilde{\nabla}_j]E_k = E_l * \tilde{R}_{kij}^l \tag{2.8}$$

for some $R_{kij}^l, \tilde{R}_{kij}^l \in \mathcal{A}$. We refer to R_{kij}^l and $\tilde{R}_{kij}^l$ respectively as the *Riemann curvatures* of the left and right tangent bundles of the noncommutative spacetime $(U, \mathbf{g})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{kij}^l &= -\partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^l - \Gamma_{ik}^p * \Gamma_{jp}^l + \partial_i \Gamma_{jk}^l + \Gamma_{jk}^p * \Gamma_{ip}^l, \\ \tilde{R}_{kij}^l &= -\partial_j \tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^l - \tilde{\Gamma}_{jp}^l * \tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^p + \partial_i \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^l + \tilde{\Gamma}_{ip}^l * \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$R_{lkij} = R_{kij}^p * \mathbf{g}_{pl}, \qquad \tilde{R}_{lkij} = -\mathbf{g}_{kp} * \tilde{R}_{lij}^p.$$

Then these Riemannian curvatures of the left and right tangent bundles coincide [9, Lemma 2.12]

$$R_{klij} = \tilde{R}_{klij}.$$

Therefore we only need to study the Riemannian curvature on one of the tangent bundles.

Another important property of the Riemannian curvature is that it satisfies the noncommutative analogues of the first and second Bianchi identities [9, Theorem 4.3]. Note also that $R_{klij} = -R_{klji}$, but there is no simple rule to relate R_{lkij} to R_{klij} in contrast to the commutative case.

Let

$$R_{ij} = R^p_{ipj}, \qquad R = \mathbf{g}^{ji} * R_{ij}, \tag{2.9}$$

and call them the *Ricci curvature* and *scalar curvature* respectively. Let

$$R^i_j = \mathbf{g}^{ik} * R_{kj}, \tag{2.10}$$

then the scalar curvature is $R = R^i_i$. Let us also introduce the following object

$$\Theta^l_p := \mathbf{g}^{ik} * R^l_{kpi}, \tag{2.11}$$

which we will refer to as the Θ-curvature. In the commutative case, Θ^l_p coincides with R^l_p, but it is no longer true in the present setting. However, note that

$$\Theta^i_i = \mathbf{g}^{ik} * R^l_{kli} = \mathbf{g}^{ik} * R_{ki} = R. \tag{2.12}$$

Analysis of the noncommutative second Bianchi identity in [9, §4.B] showed that $R^i_j + \Theta^i_j - \delta^i_j R$ was an analogue of the usual Einstein tensor (more precisely, 2 times the Einstein tensor) in the case of vanishing cosmological constant. Based on the analysis, a noncommutative Einstein equation in the vacuum without cosmological constant was proposed in [9, §4.C].

In view of [9, §4.B], the following appears to be a reasonable proposal for a noncommutative Einstein equation over U,

$$R^i_j + \Theta^i_j - \delta^i_j R + 2\delta^i_j \Lambda = 2T^i_j, \tag{2.13}$$

where T^i_j is some generalized "energy-momentum tensor", and Λ is the cosmological constant. This reduces to the vacuum equation suggested in [9] when $T^i_j = 0$ and the cosmological constant vanishes. We hope to provide a mathematical justification for this proposal in future work, where the defining properties of T^i_j will also be specified.

In the commutative limit, we recover the usual Einstein equation from equation (2.13). However, it is yet to be seen whether the equation in the noncommutative setting correctly describes physics.

We shall test aspects of the validity of the noncommutative Einstein equation by examining whether it is possible to find solutions of the equation, which may be considered as noncommutative analogues of physically important spacetimes in the commutative setting, for example, the Schwarzschild spacetime and Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime. As we shall see presently, this is indeed possible.

3. Quantum deformation of the Schwarzschild spacetime

In this section, we investigate noncommutative analogues of the Schwarzschild spacetime using the general theory discussed in the previous section. Recall that the Schwarzschild spacetime has the following metric

$$g = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2\right) \tag{3.1}$$

where $m = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ is constant, with M interpreted as the total mass of the spacetime. In the formula for m, G is the Newton constant, and c is the speed of light. The Schwarzschild spacetime can be embedded into a flat space of 6-dimensions in the following two ways [17, 16]:

(i). Kasner's embedding:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^1 &= \left(1-\frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin t,\\
X^2 &= \left(1-\frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos t,\\
X^3 &= f(r), \quad (f')^2+1 = \left(1-\frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}\left(1+\frac{m^2}{r^4}\right),\\
X^4 &= r\sin\theta\cos\phi,\\
X^5 &= r\sin\theta\sin\phi,\\
X^6 &= r\cos\theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the Schwarzschild metric given by

$$
g = -\left(dX^1\right)^2 - \left(dX^2\right)^2 + \left(dX^3\right)^2 + \left(dX^4\right)^2 + \left(dX^5\right)^2 + \left(dX^6\right)^2
$$

(ii). Fronsdal's embedding:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^1 &= \left(1-\frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sinh t,\\
Y^2 &= \left(1-\frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cosh t,\\
Y^3 &= f(r), \quad (f')^2+1 = \left(1-\frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}\left(1-\frac{m^2}{r^4}\right),\\
Y^4 &= r\sin\theta\cos\phi,\\
Y^5 &= r\sin\theta\sin\phi,\\
Y^6 &= r\cos\theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the Schwarzschild metric given by

$$
g = -\left(dY^1\right)^2 + \left(dY^2\right)^2 + \left(dY^3\right)^2 + \left(dY^4\right)^2 + \left(dY^5\right)^2 + \left(dY^6\right)^2.
$$

Let us now construct a noncommutative analogue of the Schwarzschild spacetime. Denote $x^0 = t$, $x^1 = r$, $x^2 = \theta$ and $x^3 = \phi$. We deform the algebra of functions in these variables by imposing on it the Moyal product defined by (2.2) with the following anti-symmetric matrix

$$
(\theta_{\mu\nu})_{\mu,\nu=0}^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}
$$

Denote the resultant noncommutative algebra by $\mathcal{A}$. Note that in the present case that the nonzero components of the matrix $(\theta_{\mu\nu})$ are dimensionless.

Now we regard the functions X^i, Y^i ($1 \le i \le 6$) appearing in both Kasner's and Fronsdal's embeddings as elements of $\mathcal{A}$. For $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^i_\mu &= \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad \text{for Kasner's embedding},\\
E^i_\mu &= \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad \text{for Fronsdal's embedding.}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.3}
$$

Following the general theory of the last section, we define the metric and noncommutative torsion for the noncommutative Schwarzschild spacetime by,

(1). in the case of Kasner's embedding

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} =& - E^1_\mu * E^1_\nu - E^2_\mu * E^2_\nu + \sum_{j=3}^{6} E^j_\mu * E^j_\nu,\\ \Upsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} =& \frac{1}{2}\left(-\partial_\mu E^1_\nu * E^1_\rho - \partial_\mu E^2_\nu * E^2_\rho + \sum_{j=3}^{6} \partial_\mu E^j_\nu * E^j_\rho\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left(-E^1_\rho * \partial_\mu E^1_\nu - E^2_\rho * \partial_\mu E^2_\nu + \sum_{j=3}^{6} E^j_\rho * \partial_\mu E^j_\nu\right);\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

(2). in the case of Fronsdal's embedding

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} =& - E^1_\mu * E^1_\nu + \sum_{j=2}^{6} E^j_\mu * E^j_\nu,\\ \Upsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} =& \frac{1}{2}\left(-\partial_\mu E^1_\nu * E^1_\rho + \sum_{j=2}^{6} \partial_\mu E^j_\nu * E^j_\rho\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left(-E^1_\rho * \partial_\mu E^1_\nu + \sum_{j=2}^{6} E^j_\rho * \partial_\mu E^j_\nu\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Some lengthy but straightforward calculations show that the metrics and the noncommutative torsions are respectively equal in the two cases. Since the noncommutative torsion will not be used in later discussions, we shall not spell it out explicitly. However, we record the metric $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})$ of the quantum deformation of the Schwarzschild spacetime below:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{g}_{00} =& -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right),\\ \mathbf{g}_{01} =& \mathbf{g}_{10} = \mathbf{g}_{02} = \mathbf{g}_{20} = \mathbf{g}_{03} = \mathbf{g}_{30} = 0,\\ \mathbf{g}_{11} =& \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}\left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\left(\sin^2\theta - \cos^2\theta\right)\sinh^2\bar{h}\right],\\ \mathbf{g}_{12} =& \mathbf{g}_{21} = 2r\sin\theta\cos\theta\sinh^2\bar{h},\\ \mathbf{g}_{13} =& - \mathbf{g}_{31} = -2r\sin\theta\cos\theta\sinh\bar{h}\cosh\bar{h},\\ \mathbf{g}_{22} =& r^2\left[1 - \left(\sin^2\theta - \cos^2\theta\right)\sinh^2\bar{h}\right],\\ \mathbf{g}_{23} =& - \mathbf{g}_{32} = r^2\left(\sin^2\theta - \cos^2\theta\right)\sinh\bar{h}\cosh\bar{h},\\ \mathbf{g}_{33} =& r^2\left[\sin^2\theta + \left(\sin^2\theta - \cos^2\theta\right)\sinh^2\bar{h}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

It is interesting to observe that the quantum deformation of the Schwarzschild metric (3.6) still has a black hole with the event horizon at $r = 2m$. The Hawking temperature and entropy of the black hole are respectively given by

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\mathbf{g}_{00}}{dr}\Big|_{r=2m} = \frac{1}{4m}, \qquad S_{bh} = 4\pi m^2.$$

They coincide with the temperature and entropy of the classical Schwarzschild black hole of mass M. However, the area of the event horizon of the noncommutative black hole receives

corrections from the quantum deformation of the spacetime. Let $\bar{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g}_{22} & \mathbf{g}_{23} \\ \mathbf{g}_{32} & \mathbf{g}_{33} \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A = & \iint_{\{r=2m\}} \sqrt{\det \bar{g}}\, d\theta d\phi \\ = & \iint_{\{r=2m\}} r^2 \sin\theta \sqrt{1 + \left(\sin^2\theta - \cos^2\theta\right)\sinh^2 \bar{h}} d\theta d\phi \\ = & 16\pi m^2 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{h}^2}{6} + O(\bar{h}^4)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following relationship between the horizon area and entropy of the noncommutative black hole:

$$S_{bh} = \frac{A}{4}\left(1 + \frac{\bar{h}^2}{6} + O(\bar{h}^4)\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Let us now consider the Ricci and Θ-curvature of the deformed Schwarzschild metric. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^1 = & R_0^2 = R_0^3 = R_1^0 = R_2^0 = R_3^0 = 0, \\ \Theta_0^1 = & \Theta_0^2 = \Theta_0^3 = \Theta_1^0 = \Theta_2^0 = \Theta_3^0 = 0, \\ R_0^0 = & \Theta_0^0 = -\frac{m\left[2m + 3r + 3(m+r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4), \\ R_1^1 = & \Theta_1^1 = \frac{m\left[-14m + 3r + (-11m + r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4), \\ R_1^2 = & \Theta_1^2 = \frac{2m\cos^2\theta\cot\theta}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4), \\ R_1^3 = & -\Theta_1^3 = \frac{2m\cot\theta}{r^4}\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3), \\ R_2^1 = & \Theta_2^1 = \frac{5m(-2m + r)\sin 2\theta}{r^3}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4), \\ R_2^2 = & \Theta_2^2 = \frac{m\left[4(m+r) + (6m + 5r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4), \\ R_2^3 = & -\Theta_2^3 = \frac{4m}{r^3}\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3), \\ R_3^1 = & -\Theta_3^1 = \frac{m(2m - r)\sin 2\theta}{r^3}\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3). \\ R_3^2 = & -\Theta_3^2 = \frac{4m\cos^2\theta}{r^3}\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3). \\ R_3^3 = & \Theta_3^3 = \frac{m\left[-8m + 8r + (-6m + 9r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $R_i^i = \Theta_i^i$ for all i, and $R_i^j = -\Theta_i^j$ if $i \neq j$. Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^i &= R_{j(0)}^i + \bar{h}R_{j(1)}^i + \bar{h}^2 R_{j(2)}^i + \ldots, \\ \Theta_j^i &= \Theta_{j(0)}^i + \bar{h}\Theta_{j(1)}^i + \bar{h}^2\Theta_{j(2)}^i + \ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Then the formulae for R_j^i and Θ_j^i show that

$$R_{j(0)}^i = \Theta_{j(0)}^i, \quad R_{j(1)}^i = -\Theta_{j(1)}^i, \quad R_{j(2)}^i = \Theta_{j(2)}^i.$$

Naively generalizing the Einstein tensor $R^i_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta^i_j R$ to the noncommutative setting, one ends up with a quantity that does not vanish at order $\bar{h}$, as can be easily shown using the above results. However,

$$R^i_j + \Theta^i_j - \delta^i_j R = 0 + O(\bar{h}^2).$$

This indicates that the proposed noncommutative Einstein equation (2.13) captures some essence of the underlying symmetries in the noncommutative world.

Now the deformed Schwarzschild metric (3.6) satisfies the vacuum noncommutative Einstein equation (2.13) with $T^i_j = 0$ and $\Lambda = 0$ to first order in the deformation parameter. However, if we take into account higher order corrections in $\bar{h}$, the deformed Schwarzschild metric no longer satisfies the noncommutative Einstein equation in the vacuum. Instead, $R^i_j + \Theta^i_j - \delta^i_j R = T^i_j$ with T^i_j being of order $O(\bar{h}^2)$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^1_0 =& T^2_0 = T^3_0 = T^0_1 = T^0_2 = T^0_3 = T^1_3 = T^2_3 = T^3_1 = T^3_2 = 0,\\
T^0_0 =& \frac{m\left[8m - 9r + (4m - 9r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T^1_1 =& \frac{-m\left[4m + 3r + (4m + 5r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T^2_1 =& \frac{2m\cos^2\theta\cot\theta}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T^1_2 =& \frac{5m\left(-2m + r\right)\sin 2\theta}{r^3}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T^2_2 =& \frac{m\left[14m - 2r + (13m - r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T^3_3 =& \frac{m\left[2\left(m + r\right) + (m + 3r)\cos 2\theta\right]}{r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

A possible physical interpretation of the results is the following. We regard the $\bar{h}$ and higher order terms in the metric $\mathbf{g}_{ij}$ and associated curvature R_{ijkl} as arising from quantum effects of gravity. Then the T^j_i obtained in (3.10) should be interpreted as quantum corrections to the classical Einstein tensor.

4. Quantum deformation of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime

In this section, we investigate a noncommutative analogue of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime. Since the analysis is parallel to that on the quantum Schwarzschild spacetime, we shall only present the pertinent results.

Recall that the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime has the following metric

$$g = -\left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2\right) \tag{4.1}$$

where $\frac{3}{l^2} = \Lambda > 0$ is the cosmological constant, and m is related to the total mass of the spacetime through the same formula as in the Schwarzschild case. This spacetime can be embedded into a flat space of 6-dimensions in two different ways.

(i). Generalized Kasner embedding:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^1 &= \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin t, \\
X^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos t, \\
X^3 &= f(r), \quad (f')^2 + 1 = \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{m}{r^2} - \frac{r}{l^2}\right)^2\right], \\
X^4 &= r \sin\theta \cos\phi, \\
X^5 &= r \sin\theta \sin\phi, \\
X^6 &= r \cos\theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric given by

$$
g = -\left(dX^1\right)^2 - \left(dX^2\right)^2 + \left(dX^3\right)^2 + \left(dX^4\right)^2 + \left(dX^5\right)^2 + \left(dX^6\right)^2
$$

(ii). Generalized Fronsdal embedding:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^1 &= \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sinh t, \\
Y^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cosh t, \\
Y^3 &= f(r), \quad (f')^2 + 1 = \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{m}{r^2} - \frac{r}{l^2}\right)^2\right], \\
Y^4 &= r \sin\theta \cos\phi, \\
Y^5 &= r \sin\theta \sin\phi, \\
Y^6 &= r \cos\theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric given by

$$
g = -\left(dY^1\right)^2 + \left(dY^2\right)^2 + \left(dY^3\right)^2 + \left(dY^4\right)^2 + \left(dY^5\right)^2 + \left(dY^6\right)^2.
$$

Let us now construct a noncommutative analogue of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime. Denote $x^0 = t$, $x^1 = r$, $x^2 = \theta$ and $x^3 = \phi$. We deform the algebra of functions in these variables by imposing on it the Moyal product defined by (2.2) with the anti-symmetric matrix (3.2). Denote the resultant noncommutative algebra by $\mathcal{A}$.

Now we regard the functions X^i, Y^i $(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ appearing in both the generalized Kasner embedding and the generalized Fronsdal embedding as elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Let E_μ^i $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3,$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6)$ be defined by (3.3) but for the generalized Kasner and Fronsdal embeddings respectively. We also define the metric and noncommutative torsion for the noncommutative Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime by equations (3.4) and (3.5) for the generalized Kasner and Fronsdal embeddings respectively. As in the case of the noncommutative Schwarzschild spacetime, we can show that the metrics and the noncommutative torsions are respectively equal for the two embeddings. We record the metric $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})$ of the quantum deformation of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime

below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_{00} =& -\left(1-\frac{r^2}{l^2}-\frac{2m}{r}\right),\\
\mathbf{g}_{01} =& \mathbf{g}_{10} = \mathbf{g}_{02} = \mathbf{g}_{20} = \mathbf{g}_{03} = \mathbf{g}_{30} = 0,\\
\mathbf{g}_{11} =& \left(1-\frac{r^2}{l^2}-\frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}\left[1+\left(1-\frac{r^2}{l^2}-\frac{2m}{r}\right)\left(\sin^2\theta-\cos^2\theta\right)\sinh^2\bar{h}\right],\\
\mathbf{g}_{12} =& \mathbf{g}_{21} = 2r\sin\theta\cos\theta\sinh^2\bar{h},\\
\mathbf{g}_{13} =& -\mathbf{g}_{31} = -2r\sin\theta\cos\theta\sinh\bar{h}\cosh\bar{h},\\
\mathbf{g}_{22} =& r^2\left[1-\left(\sin^2\theta-\cos^2\theta\right)\sinh^2\bar{h}\right],\\
\mathbf{g}_{23} =& -\mathbf{g}_{32} = r^2\left(\sin^2\theta-\cos^2\theta\right)\sinh\bar{h}\cosh\bar{h},\\
\mathbf{g}_{33} =& r^2\left[\sin^2\theta+\left(\sin^2\theta-\cos^2\theta\right)\sinh^2\bar{h}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

Let us now consider the Ricci curvature and the Θ-curvature of the deformed Schwarzschild metric. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^1_0 =& R^2_0 = R^3_0 = R^0_1 = R^0_2 = R^0_3 = 0,\\
\Theta^1_0 =& \Theta^2_0 = \Theta^3_0 = \Theta^0_1 = \Theta^0_2 = \Theta^0_3 = 0,\\
R^0_0 =& \Theta^0_0 = \frac{3}{l^2} + \Big[l^2\left(10m-3r\right)r^3 + 10r^6 - l^4m\left(2m+3r\right)\\
& - 3\left\{-2l^2mr^3 - 2r^6 + l^4m\left(m+r\right)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
R^1_1 =& \Theta^1_1 = \frac{3}{l^2} + \Big[l^2\left(16m-9r\right)r^3 + 16r^6 + l^4m\left(-14m+3r\right)\\
& + \left\{2l^2\left(5m-2r\right)r^3 + 10r^6 + l^4m\left(-11m+r\right)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
R^2_1 =& \Theta^2_1 = \frac{2\left(l^2m-4r^3\right)\cos^2\theta\cot\theta}{l^2r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
R^3_1 =& -\Theta^3_1 = \frac{2\left(l^2m-4r^3\right)\cot\theta}{l^2r^4}\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3),\\
R^1_2 =& \Theta^1_2 = -\frac{\left[l^2\left(2m-r\right)+r^3\right]\left(5l^2m+4r^3\right)\sin 2\theta}{l^4r^3}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
R^2_2 =& \Theta^2_2 = \frac{3}{l^2} + \Big[l^2\left(22m-r\right)r^3 + 10r^6 + 4l^4m\left(m+r\right)\\
& + \left\{6r^6 + l^2r^3\left(15m+4r\right) + l^4m\left(6m+5r\right)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
R^3_2 =& -\Theta^3_2 = \left(\frac{8}{l^2}+\frac{4m}{r^3}\right)\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3),\\
R^1_3 =& -\Theta^1_3 = \frac{\left(l^2m-4r^3\right)\left[l^2\left(2m-r\right)+r^3\right]\sin 2\theta}{l^4r^3}\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3),\\
R^2_3 =& -\Theta^2_3 = 4\left(\frac{2}{l^2}+\frac{m}{r^3}\right)\cos^2\theta\bar{h} + O(\bar{h}^3),\\
R^3_3 =& \Theta^3_3 = \frac{3}{l^2} + \Big[-8l^4m\left(m-r\right) + l^2\left(28m-5r\right)r^3 + 16r^6\\
& + 3\left\{7l^2mr^3 + 4r^6 + l^4m\left(-2m+3r\right)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3}
$$

Note that if we expand R_i^j and Θ_i^j into power series in $\bar{h}$ in the form (3.9), we again have

$$R^i_{j(0)} = \Theta^i_{j(0)}, \quad R^i_{j(1)} = -\Theta^i_{j(1)}, \quad R^i_{j(2)} = \Theta^i_{j(2)}.$$

By using the above results one can easily show that the deformed Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric (4.2) satisfies the vacuum noncommutative Einstein equation (2.13) (with $T_j^i = 0$) to first order in the deformation parameter:

$$R^i_j + \Theta^i_j - \delta^i_j R + \delta^i_j \frac{6}{l^2} = 0 + O(\bar{h}^2).$$

Further analysing the deformed Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric, we note that $R^i_j + \Theta^i_j - \delta^i_j R + \delta^i_j \frac{6}{l^2} = T^i_j$ with T^i_j being of order $O(\bar{h}^2)$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_0^1 =& T_0^2 = T_0^3 = T_1^0 = T_2^0 = T_3^0 = T_3^1 = T_3^2 = T_1^3 = T_2^3 = 0,\\
T_0^0 =& -\Big[2l^2(14m-3r)r^3 + 16r^6 + l^4 m(-8m+9r)\\
&+\left\{20l^2 m r^3 + 11r^6 + l^4 m(-4m+9r)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4 r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T_1^1 =& -\Big[22l^2 m r^3 + 10r^6 + l^4 m(4m+3r)\\
&+\left\{7r^6 + 4l^2 r^3(4m+r) + l^4 m(4m+5r)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4 r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T_1^2 =& \frac{2\left(l^2 m - 4r^3\right)\cos^2\theta\cot\theta}{l^2 r^4}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T_2^1 =& -\frac{\left[l^2(2m-r)+r^3\right]\left(5l^2 m + 4r^3\right)\sin 2\theta}{l^4 r^3}\bar{h}^2 + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T_2^2 =& -\Big[2\left\{4l^2(2m-r)r^3 + 8r^6 + l^4 m(-7m+r)\right\}\\
&+\left\{l^2(11m-4r)r^3 + 11r^6 + l^4 m(-13m+r)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4 r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4),\\
T_3^3 =& \Big[2\left\{-5r^6 + l^4 m(m+r) + l^2 r^3(-5m+2r)\right\}\\
&+\left\{-5l^2 m r^3 - 5r^6 + l^4 m(m+3r)\right\}\cos 2\theta\Big]\frac{\bar{h}^2}{l^4 r^4} + O(\bar{h}^4).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the case of the quantum Schwarzschild spacetime, one may regard this as quantum corrections to the Einstein tensor.

5. Conclusion

Working within the framework of the noncommutative Riemannian geometry of [9], we have obtained in this paper quantum analogues of the Schwarzschild spacetime and Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime, and studied their noncommutative geometries. The quantum Schwarzschild spacetime has been constructed in two ways, respectively mimicking the Kasner and Fronsdal embeddings of the classical Schwarzschild spacetime in 6-dimensions. The metrics and curvatures of the resultant quantum spacetimes coincide, and are shown to be solutions of a noncommutative analogue of the Einstein equation in the vacuum exact to the first order in the deformation parameter. The Hawking temperature and entropy of the quantum Schwarzschild black hole have been computed and shown to coincide with the usual quantities. However, the area of the horizon has received quantum corrections, and this in turn leads to a modification of the entropy-area law. We

have also constructed the quantum Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime using two embeddings, and shown that to the first order in the deformation parameter, the spacetime is a solution of the vacuum noncommutative Einstein equation with a cosmological constant.

Quantum deformations of the plane-fronted waves and other spacetimes will be studied in a forthcoming paper [18].

Works on noncommutative relativity and black holes reported in the literature are largely based on physical intuitions. A fundamental theory is much desired for developing the subject to a higher level of sophistication. We hope that future work will develop the theory of [9] into a coherent mathematical framework for noncommutative gravity.

Two problems deserve particular attention. One is a possible first principle derivation of the noncommutative Einstein equation (2.13) (e.g., based on an action principle), the other is the understanding of the symmetries governing (2.13). The latter problem is closely related to the study of a noncommutative analogue of the "diffeomorphism group". In [9, §V], we introduced noncommutative general coordinate transformations and obtained the transformation rules of the metric, Riemannian curvature tensor and other quantities under such transformations. While the material of [9, §V] is well adapted to studying symmetries of equation (2.13), the approach of [7] based on deforming the Hopf algebraic structure of differential operators will also be worth investigating. We will return to the issues alluded to here in future publications.

Acknowledgement: We are indebted to M. Chaichian, A. Tureanu and M. Oksanen for valuable suggestions on the manuscript. X. Zhang wishes to thank the School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney for the hospitality during his visits when part of this work was carried out. Partial financial support from the Australian Research Council, National Science Foundation of China (grants 10421001, 10725105, 10731080), NKBRPC (2006CB805905) and the Chinese Academy of Sciences is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, J. E. Roberts, Comm. Math. Phys. **172**, 187–220 (1995).

[2] B. P. Dolan, K. S. Gupta and A. Stern, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 1647 (2007);
H. C. Kim, M. I. Park, C. Rim and J. H. Yee, "Smeared BTZ black hole from space noncommutativity", arXiv:0710.1362 [hep-th].

[3] S. Ansoldi, P. Nicolini, A. Smailagic and E. Spallucci, Phys. Lett. **B 645**, 261 (2007);
P. Nicolini, A. Smailagic and E. Spallucci, Phys. Lett. **B 632**, 547 (2006);
R. Banerjee, B. R. Majhi and S. K. Modak, "Area law in noncommutative Schwarzschild black hole", arXiv:0802.2176 [hep-th].

[4] A. Kobakhidze, "Noncommutative corrections to classical black holes", arXiv:0712.0642 [gr-qc].

[5] M. Chaichian, A. Tureanu and G. Zet, Phys. Lett. **B 660**, 573 (2008);
M. Chaichian, M. R. Setare, A. Tureanu and G. Zet, JHEP **0804**, 064 (2008).

[6] A. H. Chamseddine, Commun. Math. Phys. **218** 283 (2001); Phys. Lett. **B 504**, 33 (2001);
A. H. Chamseddine, Phy. Rev. **D 69** 024015 (2004).

[7] P. Aschieri, C. Blohmann, M. Dimitrijevic, F. Meyer, P. Schupp and J. Wess, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 3511 (2005);
P. Aschieri, M. Dimitrijevic, F. Meyer and J. Wess, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 1883 (2006).

[8] L. Álvarez-Gaumé, F. Meyer, M. A. Vazquez-Mozo, Nucl. Phys. **B 75** 392 (2006).

[9] M. Chaichian, A. Tureanu, R. B. Zhang and X. Zhang, "Riemannian geometry of noncommutative surfaces", J. Math. Phys. **49**, 073511 (2008).

[10] M. Buric, T. Grammatikopoulos, J. Madore, G. Zoupanos, JHEP **0604**, 054 (2006).

[11] M. Burić and J. Madore, "Spherically symmetric noncommutative space: d=4". arXiv:0807.0960 [hep-th].

[12] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. **B 293**, 344 (1992).

[13] M. Daszkiewicz, J. Lukierski, M. Woronowicz, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 105007 (2008).
[14] John Nash, "The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds", Annals of Mathematics, **63**, 20-63 (1956).
[15] A. Friedman, "Local isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds with indefinite metric", J. Math. Mech. **10**, 625 (1961);
C.J.S. Clarke, "On the global isometric embedding of pesudo-Riemannian manifolds", Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A. **314** 417-428 (1970);
R. E. Greene, Isometric embedding of Riemannian and pseudoRiemannian manifolds, Memoirs Am. Math. Soc. **97** (1970).
[16] C. Fronsdal, "Completion and embedding of the Schwarzschild solution", Phys. Rev. **116**. 778 (1959).
[17] E. Kasner, "Finite representation of the solar gravitational field in flat space of six dimensions", Am. J. Math. **43**, 130 (1921);
E. Kasner,"The impossibility of Einstein fields immersed in flat space of five dimensions", Am. J. Math. **43**, 126 (1921).
[18] Ding Wang, R. B. Zhang and Xiao Zhang, "Exact solutions of noncommutative vacuum Einstein field equations and plane-fronted gravitational waves".

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
E-mail address: wangding@amss.ac.cn

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
E-mail address: rzhang@maths.usyd.edu.au

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
E-mail address: xzhang@amss.ac.cn